

# Scorecard - Kent, inc UASC

Sep 2012

ID	Indicators	Polarity	Data Period	Current					Previously reported result	Target for 12/13	Comparative Data		
				Latest Result and RAG Status	Num	Denom	Direction of Travel (DoT)	Kent Published Outturn 2010-11			National Average 2010-11	Statistical Neighbour Average 2010-11	

## HOW MUCH ARE WE DEALING WITH ?

A1	Number of CAFs completed per 10,000 population under 18	H	Rolling 12 Months	60.7	R	1898	312597	↓	62.7	77.2			
A2	Number of Referrals per 10,000 population under 18	T	Rolling 12 Months	376.4	R	11765	312597	↓	383.8	543.7	722.8	556.8	584.1
A3	NI 68 - Percentage of Referrals going on to Initial Assessment	T	YTD	85.7%	R	4718	5504	↑	92.6%	69.5%	57.0%	72.0%	67.2%
A4	Number of Initial Assessments per 10,000 population under 18	T	Rolling 12 Months	350.0	G	10942	312597	↓	362.4	426.1	411.7	399.1	379.4
A5	Number of New & Updated Core Assessments per 10,000 population under 18	T	Rolling 12 Months	342.5	R	10707	312597	↑	354.3	236.0	216.9	167.3	139.5
A6	Number of S47 Investigations per 10,000 population under 18	T	Rolling 12 Months	130.7	R	4087	312597	↑	141.9	106.4	185.0	101.0	94.5
A7	Percentage of S47 Investigations proceeding to Initial CP Conference	T	YTD	34.4%	R	516	1500	↑	32.0%	44.5%			
A8	Number of Initial CP Conferences per 10,000 population under 18	T	Rolling 12 Months	37.0	A	1156	312597	↓	38.0	42.3	55.4	48.0	42.6
A9	Number of CIN per 10,000 population under 18 (includes CP and LAC)	T	Snapshot	282.3	G	8824	312597	↑	277.1	280.0	387.6	346.2	324.8
A10	Numbers of Children with a CP Plan per 10,000 population under 18	T	Snapshot	25.7	R	802	312597	↓	25.8	30.5	51.6	38.3	34.5
A11	Children looked after per 10,000 population aged under 18 (Excludes Asylum)	T	Snapshot	51.8	G	1618	312597	→	51.8	47.5	54.0	59.0	50.7
A12	Number of Looked After Children with a CP plan.	L	Snapshot	40	A			↑	41	30			
A13	Numbers of Unallocated Cases for over 28 days (Business)	L	Snapshot	0	G			→	0	0			

## HOW LONG IS IT TAKING US ?

B1	NI 59 - Percentage of IA's that were carried out within 7 working days of referral	H	YTD	87.9%	G	4145	4718	↓	88.2%	78.8%	54.0%	64.3%	57.4%
B2	Initial Assessments in progress outside of timescale	L	Snapshot	37	G			↓	23	100			
B3	(NI 60) - Percentage of Core Assessments that were carried out within timescale	H	YTD	83.9%	G	4068	4850	↓	84.2%	83.2%	72.2%	75.1%	68.6%
B4	Core Assessments in progress outside of timescale	L	Snapshot	75	G			↓	54	100			
B5	NI 67 - Child protection cases which were reviewed within required timescales	H	YTD	98.5%	G	541	549	↓	99.8%	98.0%	96.3%	97.1%	98.8%
B6	NI 66 - Looked after children cases which were reviewed within required timescales	H	YTD	96.4%	A	1625	1686	↓	97.7%	98.0%			

## HOW WELL ARE WE DOING IT ?

C1	Percentage of Case File Audits judged adequate or better	H	YTD	71.9%	R	350	487	↑	71.2%	85%			
C2	Percentage of open cases with Ethnicity recorded (excludes unborn)	H	Snapshot	98.9%	G	8571	8668	↓	99.6%	98%	92.3%	94.4%	91.6%
C3	Percentage of Children seen at Initial Assessment (excludes unborn/progress to strat)	H	YTD	89.4%	A	3242	3626	↑	89.1%	95%			
C4	Percentage of Children seen at Core Assessment (excludes unborn)	H	YTD	98.0%	G	4500	4590	↑	98.0%	95%			
C5	Percentage of Children seen at Section 47 enquiry (excludes unborn)	H	YTD	96.7%	G	1365	1412	↓	96.8%	95%			
C6	Percentage of CP Visits held within timescale (Current CP only)	H	Snapshot	83.2%	A	8178	9832	↓	83.5%	90%			
C7	Percentage of Looked After Children aged 5 to 16 with a Personal Education Plan (PEP)	H	Snapshot	88.1%	A	955	1084	↓	90.3%	95%			
C8	Participation at Looked After Children Reviews	H	YTD	96.0%	G	1889	1967	↓	96.2%	95%			

# Scorecard - Kent, inc UASC

Sep 2012

ID	Indicators	Polarity	Data Period	Current				Direction of Travel (DoT)	Previously reported result	Target for 12/13	Comparative Data		
				Latest Result and RAG Status		Num	Denom				Kent Published Outturn 2010-11	National Average 2010-11	Statistical Neighbour Average 2010-11
c9	Children subject to a CP Plan not allocated to a Qualified Social Worker	L	Snapshot	0	G			↑	2	0			
c10	Looked After Children not allocated to a Qualified Social Worker	L	Snapshot	7	R			↓	1	0			

## ARE WE ACHIEVING GOOD OUTCOMES ?

D1	Percentage of referrals with a previous referral within 12 months	L	YTD	21.3%	G	1172	5504	↑	23.2%	25.8%		25.6%	24.4%
D2	NI 65 - Percentage of children becoming the subject of a CP Plan for a second or subsequent time	T	YTD	26.2%	R	120	458	↑	26.9%	13.4%	14.5%	13.3%	13.4%
D13	Percentage of those becoming subject to a CP plan who had a previous plan within 12 months		YTD	10.5%		48	458		11.4%				
D3	NI 64 - Child Protection Plans lasting 2 years or more at the point of de-registration	L	YTD	7.5%	A	46	610	↑	8.2%	6.0%	11.3%	6.0%	5.8%
D4	Percentage of Current CP Plans lasting 18 months or more	L	Snapshot	14.0%	A	112	802	↓	13.2%	10.0%			
D5	NI 62 - LAC Placement Stability: 3 or more placements in the last 12 months	L	Snapshot	9.8%	A	179	1832	↑	9.9%	8.1%	8.0%	10.7%	10.4%
D6	NI 63 - LAC Placement Stability: Same placement for last 2 years	H	Snapshot	72.2%	A	330	457	↓	73.5%	75.7%	71.5%	68.6%	67.1%
D7	Percentage of Looked After Children in Foster Care currently placed within 10 miles from home	H	Snapshot	61.7%	A	737	1195	↑	61.3%	65%			
D8	LAC Dental Checks held within required timescale	H	Snapshot	86.0%	A	1283	1491	↑	86.0%	90.0%	62.7%	83.3%	76.3%
D9	LAC Health assessments held within required timescale	H	Snapshot	89.1%	A	1328	1491	↓	90.9%	90.0%	62.7%	83.3%	76.3%
D10	Percentage of Looked After Children placed for adoption within 12 months of agency decision	H	YTD	76.2%	A	32	42	→	76.2%	85.0%			
D11	Percentage of Children leaving care who were adopted	H	YTD	9.9%	A	42	425	↓	11.0%	13%	8%	11%	11.2%
D12	Percentage of Children leaving care who were made subject to a SGO	H	YTD	5.4%	A	23	425	↓	5.8%	6.3%			

### PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

As at 30/09/2012, Kent, inc UASC has 15 indicators rated as Green, 16 indicators rated as Amber and 10 indicators rated as Red. When comparing performance from last month to this month, 16 indicators have shown an improvement, 3 indicators have remained the same and 22 indicators have shown a reduction.